

## Problem session 11

The first problem requires the use of the Tor functors. We recall first their definition and the basic properties. Suppose that  $A$  is a commutative ring and  $M$  is an  $A$ -module. The functor  $M \otimes_A -$  is right exact. The category of  $A$ -modules has enough projective objects and therefore we can construct the left derived functors of the above functor. The  $i$ th derived functor is denoted by  $\mathrm{Tor}_i^A(M, -)$ .

It follows by definition that  $\mathrm{Tor}_0^A(M, N) \simeq M \otimes_A N$  and that if we have an exact sequence of  $A$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow N' \rightarrow N \rightarrow N'' \rightarrow 0$$

then we get a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_i^A(M, N') \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_i^A(M, N) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_i^A(M, N'') \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_{i-1}^A(M, N') \rightarrow \cdots$$

It is an easy exercise to deduce from this and from the definition of flatness that  $M$  is flat if and only if  $\mathrm{Tor}_i^A(M, N) = 0$  for every  $N$  and for every  $i \geq 1$ . Moreover, it is enough to have this for  $i = 1$  and every  $N$ .

The fact that the tensor product commutes with arbitrary direct sums implies that the same remains true for the Tor functors. A slightly trickier result is that we have  $\mathrm{Tor}_i^A(M, N) \simeq \mathrm{Tor}_i^A(N, M)$  for every  $i$ ,  $M$  and  $N$ . This follows using the commutativity of the tensor product and by computing Tor using free resolutions for both  $M$  and  $N$  at the same time.

The purpose of the first problem is to prove a version of the *local flatness criterion*.

**Problem 1.** Let  $A$  be a ring and  $I$  an ideal of  $A$  that is nilpotent, i.e. there is  $q$  such that  $I^q = 0$ . If  $M$  is an  $A$ -module, then the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $M$  is flat over  $A$ .
- (2)  $M/IM$  is flat over  $A/I$  and the canonical morphism  $I \otimes M \rightarrow M$  is injective.

For the implication (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1), suppose that the condition in (2) is satisfied.

- (a) Show that  $\mathrm{Tor}_1(M, A/I) = 0$ .
- (b) Deduce that for every  $A/I$ -module  $N$  we have  $\mathrm{Tor}_1^A(M, N) = 0$  (hint: consider a free presentation of  $N$ ).
- (c) Prove now by induction on  $m \geq 1$  that if  $N$  is an  $A$ -module annihilated by  $I^m$ , then  $\mathrm{Tor}_1^A(M, N) = 0$ .

By taking  $m = q$ , we deduce that  $M$  is flat over  $A$ .

**Remark.** There is another version of the local flatness criterion: if  $(A, \mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow (B, \mathfrak{n})$  is a local morphism of local Noetherian rings and if  $M$  is a finitely generated  $B$ -module, then the equivalence (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) still holds if  $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ . Moreover, note that if we take  $I = \mathfrak{m}$ , then  $M/IM$  is automatically flat over  $A/I$ . For a proof, see Matsumura's book.

We will use this to describe infinitesimal deformations of a scheme. Let  $X$  be a scheme of finite type over an algebraically closed field  $k$ . An *infinitesimal deformation of  $X$*  (over  $A$ ) is a Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ \mathrm{Spec}(k) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(A) \end{array}$$

with  $g$  flat and of finite type and where  $A$  is a finite local  $k$ -algebra (i.e.  $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$  is supported at one point).

In fact, we will be interested in embedded deformations. Suppose that  $X$  is a closed subscheme of a scheme  $Y$  of finite type over  $k$  and that  $A$  is as above. An *infinitesimal embedded deformation of  $X$*  (over  $A$ ) is a closed subscheme  $\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow Y \times \mathrm{Spec}(A)$  that is flat over  $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$  and such that  $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(A)} \mathrm{Spec}(k) = X$  as closed subschemes of  $Y$ .

Suppose that  $\phi: A' \rightarrow A$  is a morphism of local finite  $k$ -algebras inducing  $f: \mathrm{Spec}(A) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A')$ . If  $\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow Y \times \mathrm{Spec}(A')$  is an embedded deformation of  $X$  over  $A'$ , then  $f^*\mathcal{X} := \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(A')} \mathrm{Spec}(A)$  is an embedded deformation of  $X$  over  $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$ . For example, if  $\phi: A' \rightarrow k$  is the canonical surjection, then  $f^*\mathcal{X} = X$ .

Suppose now that  $\phi: A' \rightarrow A$  is surjective and that  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq Y \times \mathrm{Spec}(A)$  is an infinitesimal embedded deformation of  $X$ . A key problem is to understand the *liftings* of  $\mathcal{X}$  over  $\mathrm{Spec}(A')$ , i.e. the embedded deformations  $\mathcal{X}'$  of  $X$  over  $\mathrm{Spec}(A')$  such that  $f^*\mathcal{X}' = \mathcal{X}$ .

**Problem 2.** With the above notation, suppose that  $Y = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$  is affine and that  $\mathcal{X}$  is defined by the ideal  $J \subseteq R \otimes_k A$ . Let  $\mathcal{X}'$  be a closed subscheme of  $Y \times \mathrm{Spec}(A')$  defined by the ideal  $J' \subseteq R \otimes_k A'$  such that  $J' \cdot (R \otimes_k A) = J$ . Show that  $\mathcal{X}'$  is flat over  $\mathrm{Spec}(A')$  if and only if the following two conditions hold for some (every) system of generators  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  of  $J$ :

- (a) We have liftings  $f'_i$  of the  $f_i$  to  $J'$  that generate  $J'$  (in fact every such liftings to  $J'$  will generate  $J'$ ).
- (b) For every relation  $\sum_i g_i f_i = 0$  with the  $g_i$  in  $R \otimes_k A$  there are liftings  $g'_i$  of the  $g_i$  to  $R \otimes_k A'$  such that  $\sum_i g'_i f'_i = 0$ .

In the next problem we use the above description of liftings to study the first-order embedded deformations of  $X$ .

**Problem 3.** Let  $X$  be a closed subscheme of  $Y$ . Our goal is to describe the set of embedded deformations of  $X$  over  $A = k[t]/(t^2)$ .

- (a) Suppose first that  $Y = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$  is affine and let  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  be generators of the ideal  $I$  of  $X$  in  $Y$ . Show that the ideal of every embedded deformation of  $X$  over  $k[t]/(t^2)$  is generated by elements of the form  $f_i + tg_i$  for suitable elements  $g_i \in R$  with the property that the morphism  $R^r \rightarrow R$  taking  $e_i$  to  $g_i$  induces a morphism

of  $R$ -modules  $I \rightarrow R/I$  (note that we have also a surjection  $R^r \rightarrow I$  that takes each  $e_i$  to  $f_i$ ).

- (b) Show that two sets  $\{g_i\}_i$  and  $\{g'_i\}_i$  as above define the same closed subscheme of  $Y \times \operatorname{Spec}(k[t]/(t^2))$  if and only if the corresponding morphisms  $I \rightarrow R/I$  are the same.
- (c) Deduce that for an arbitrary  $Y$  (not necessarily affine), if  $\mathcal{I}$  is the sheaf of ideals defining  $X$ , then the set of embedded deformations of  $X$  over  $k[t]/(t^2)$  is isomorphic to the space of global sections of the normal sheaf of  $X$  in  $Y$ , namely to  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2, \mathcal{O}_X)$ .