

Worksheet problems

No problems this week!

Assignment questions

- For each of the following, determine whether you can replace the symbol $\square$ with $\subseteq$, $\supseteq$, $=$, or none of the above, for an arbitrary metric space X with subsets $A, B \subseteq X$. You only need to submit the final answer ($\subseteq$, $\supseteq$, $=$, or “none of the above”).

(i) $X \setminus \overline{A} \square \text{Int}(X \setminus A)$	(iv) $\text{Int}(A \cap B) \square \text{Int}(A) \cap \text{Int}(B)$
(ii) $X \setminus \text{Int}(A) \square \overline{X \setminus A}$	(v) $\overline{A \cup B} \square \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$
(iii) $\text{Int}(A \cup B) \square \text{Int}(A) \cup \text{Int}(B)$	(vi) $\overline{A \cap B} \square \overline{A} \cap \overline{B}$
 - Give a complete proof of your solution to part (a) (v).
 - Give a counterexample to each instance in part (a) where equality fails.
 - Prove or give a counterexample: If $A \subseteq X$, then $\partial A = \overline{A} \setminus A$.
 - Prove or give a counterexample: If $U \subseteq X$ is open, then $U = \text{Int}(\overline{U})$.
- Let X and Y be metric spaces. Let $X \times Y$ denote their product, viewed as a metric space with the product metric. Let $A \subseteq X$ and $B \subseteq Y$.
 - Determine in general the relationship ($\subseteq$, $\supseteq$, $=$, or “none of the above”) between $\overline{A} \times \overline{B}$ and $\overline{A \times B}$. Justify your result with proofs and/or counterexamples.
 - Do the same for the relationship between $\text{Int}(A) \times \text{Int}(B)$ and $\text{Int}(A \times B)$.
- Let (X, d) be a metric space. Define the *distance* between nonempty subsets A, B in X by

$$D(A, B) = \inf_{\substack{a \in A \\ b \in B}} d(a, b).$$

- Suppose that A and B are nonempty, sequentially compact subsets of X . Prove that the distance is realized, in the sense that there exists some $a_0 \in A$ and $b_0 \in B$ with $D(A, B) = d(a_0, b_0)$. *Hint:* Homework #5 Problem 2(b).
 - Show by example that the distance may not be realized for general nonempty subsets A and B , even if they are closed.
- Definition (Equivalent Metrics).** Let X be a set. Two metrics d_1 and d_2 on X are called *equivalent* (or *topologically equivalent*) if they satisfy the following relationship: A subset $U \subseteq X$ is open in the metric space (X, d_1) if and only if it is open in the metric space (X, d_2) .

(Later in the course, we will be able to describe this condition by saying that the two metrics *induce the same topology on X*).

- Prove that the metrics d_1 and d_2 are equivalent if and only if the identity functions

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X, d_1) & \longrightarrow & (X, d_2) \\ x & \longmapsto & x \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} (X, d_2) & \longrightarrow & (X, d_1) \\ x & \longmapsto & x \end{array}$$

are both continuous.

- (b) Let X be a nonempty finite set. Show that all metrics on X are topologically equivalent.
- (c) Consider the natural numbers $\mathbb{N}$. Show that $(\mathbb{N}, \text{Euclidean})$ and $(\mathbb{N}, \text{discrete})$ are topologically equivalent. Conclude that a bounded metric can be topologically equivalent to an unbounded metric.
- (d) Give an example of a set X and two metrics on X that are not topologically equivalent.
- (e) For a metric d on the set X , use the notation $B_r^d(x)$ to denote the open ball of radius r about a point x . Show that the metrics d_1 and d_2 are equivalent if and only if open balls “nest” in the following sense: for any point $x \in X$ and radius $r > 0$, there exists $r_1 > 0$ such that

$$B_{r_1}^{d_1}(x) \subseteq B_r^{d_2}(x)$$

and there exists $r_2 > 0$ such that

$$B_{r_2}^{d_2}(x) \subseteq B_r^{d_1}(x).$$

- (f) Use your solution to Homework #1 Problem 2 to give an informal argument that the following metrics on $\mathbb{R}^2$ are all equivalent.

$$\begin{aligned}d_1((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) &= |x_1 - x_2| + |y_1 - y_2| \\d_2((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) &= \sqrt{(x_1 - x_2)^2 + (y_1 - y_2)^2} \\d_\infty((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) &= \max(|x_1 - x_2|, |y_1 - y_2|)\end{aligned}$$

(In fact, the analogous metrics on $\mathbb{R}^n$ are all equivalent).