3D genomics across the tree of life reveals condensin II as a determinant of architecture type

**RESEARCH**


We investigated genome folding across the eukaryotic tree of life. We find two types of three-dimensional (3D) genome architectures at the chromosome scale. Each type appears and disappears repeatedly during eukaryotic evolution. The type of genome architecture that an organism exhibits correlates with the absence of condensin II subunits. Moreover, condensin II depletion converts the architecture of the human genome to a state resembling that seen in organisms such as fungi or mosquitoes. In this state, centromeres cluster together at nucleoli, and heterochromatin domains merge. We propose a physical model in which lengthwise compaction of chromosomes by condensin II during mitosis determines centromere clustering, telomere clustering, and a genome-scale architectural effect, which are features that are retained in the subsequent interphase.

The mechanism has been conserved since the last common ancestor of all eukaryotes.

For these, we upgraded existing genome assemblies or assembled a reference genome entirely from scratch (2) (table S2). Together, these species offer a comprehensive overview of nuclear organization since the last common ancestor of all eukaryotes. The resulting maps reveal four features of nuclear architecture at the scale of whole chromosomes (Fig. 1 and fig. S1). First, some species, such as the red piranha, exhibit enhanced contact frequency between loci on the same chromosome. This is consistent with, though not necessarily identical to, classical chromosome territories as traditionally observed by cytogenetics—when a chromosome occupies a discrete subvolume of the nucleus, excluding other chromosomes (3). Second, species like the yellow fever and southern domestic mosquitoes exhibit prominent contacts between centromeres. Third, species like the ground peanut exhibit prominent contacts between telomeres. Finally, species like bread wheat exhibit an X-shape on the chromosomal map (Fig. 1 and figs. S1, S2, and S3). We refer to these last three features as Rabl-like, because they are reminiscent of the Rabl chromosome configuration (4), in which centromeres cluster and chromosome arms are arranged in parallel.

To identify these architectural features in an unbiased fashion, we developed aggregate chromosome analysis (ACA), whereby contact maps for each chromosome are rescaled and summed and then used to score each feature (2) (figs. S3 and S6 and table S3). All species that are not holocentric exhibit at least one feature. The architectural features can be divided into two clusters, type-I and type-II, on the basis of how likely the features are to co-occur (fig. S7 and table S4). Type-I includes the three Rabl-like features: centromere clustering, telomere clustering, and a telomere-centromere axis. Type-II includes only chromosome territories. Consequently, species can also be subdivided depending on which feature cluster is more strongly exhibited (table S5).

Homologs tend to be separated or paired depending on the species. We found that type-I species typically exhibit homolog separation, whereas this is less frequent among type-I species (figs. S8 and S9 and table S5). We developed an algorithm, dubbed 3D-DNA Phaser, that exploits this separation, when present, to
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assign variants to individual homologs, producing chromosome-length haploblocks for multiple species. When homologs are not separated, as in *Drosophila melanogaster*, we show that this approach cannot be used. Taken together, these data are consistent with a model in which features of genome architecture appeared and disappeared over billions of years, as lineages switched between Rabl-like and territorial architectures.

Next, we sought to understand the mechanism underlying this switching behavior. When investigating the transition between the two architectures, we noted that mosquitoes, which display type-I features (Fig. 1), also lack a
subunit of the condensin II complex (5), which promotes mitotic chromosome compaction (6). We therefore searched for condensin II subunits in the genomes of all 24 species. Eight species lacked one or more condensin II subunit(s) (table S6) and exhibited Rabl-like features (table S3). Because these organisms lie far apart on the evolutionary tree, type-I architectural features and the loss of condensin II subunits appear to have coevolved repeatedly. This could indicate that condensin II strengthens chromosome territories or counteracts Rabl-like features.

Notably, of the eight species, five lacked all condensin II subunits, whereas the other three species only lacked CAP-G2. Previous work has shown that condensin complexes lacking the G-subunit still localize to DNA but yield elongated chromosomes (7). Condensin complexes in these species may thus be impaired, at least partially, in their ability to shorten chromosomes.

Humans exhibit type-II genome architecture, with strong chromosomal territories and no Rabl-like features (Fig. 2A). Moreover, human genomes contain all condensin II subunits. Would disruption of condensin II in human cells then interfere with chromosome territories and enhance the strength of type-I features? To test this, we performed in situ Hi-C on Hap1 cells lacking the condensin II subunit CAP-H2 (Fig. 2A, figs. S14 and S15, and table S7). Disruption of this core condensin II subunit prevents recruitment of the CAP-D3 and CAP-G2 subunits to the complex and renders the complex fully nonfunctional.

**ΔCAP-H2** cells exhibited weaker chromosome territories and much stronger contacts between centromeres in trans (Fig. 2A; fig. S15, B and C; and table S8). Immunofluorescence microscopy revealed that in **ΔCAP-H2** cells the centromeres are clustered together. Disruption of condensin II thus transforms the folding of the human genome into a type-I-like configuration (Fig. 2, B and C, and fig. S16).
Results previously obtained in other species support the model that condensin II plays a major role in three-dimensional (3D) genome organization. In Arabidopsis, condensin II regulates the spatial relationship between ribosomal DNAs (rDNAs) and centromeric regions (8, 9), whereas in mouse cells, condensin II regulates the distribution of chromocenters (10). Fruit flies lack a condensin II subunit and exhibit centromeric clustering (Fig. 1). Additional depletion of the remaining condensin II subunits in flies affects the spatial distribution of pericentromeric heterochromatin and leads to intermixing of chromosome territories, further strengthening the existing Rabl-like features (11, 12).

Next, we investigated the effects of condensin II loss on human genome architecture in greater detail. To identify DNA segments associated with the nuclear lamina (lamina-associated domains [LADs]), we performed DamID of LaminB1 (13) (fig. S17A). LADs localizing up to 25 Mb from the centromeres appeared to move away from the lamina (Fig. 2D and fig. S17, B and C). Centromere repositioning in absence of condensin II thus also moderately affects the lamina association of the regions flanking the centromeres.

In fruit flies, centromeres cluster and localize to the nucleolus (14). In ΔCAP-H2 human cells, centromeres also cluster in or around the nucleolus (Fig. 2, E and F). However, disrupting nucleolar structure did not affect centromeric clustering (fig. S18, A and B). The clustering of centromeres at the human nucleolus is likely because rDNA sequences, which are the genomic component of the nucleolus, often lie near centromeres in the human genome (on the short arm of acrocentric chromosomes) (fig. S18C).

Regions surrounding centromeres are enriched for heterochromatin and cluster upon condensin II depletion in mice and fruit flies (10, 11). Similarly, in ΔCAP-H2 cells, condensin II deficiency led to clustering of H3K9me3-containing heterochromatin (Fig. 2, G and H), which indicates that condensin II plays a conserved role in the spatial organization of this repressive epigenetic mark. Condensin II deficiency did not affect smaller-scale 3D genome organization at the level of chromatin loops (fig. S19, A and B). Also, compartmentalization was only mildly affected, specifically in regions surrounding the centromeres (fig. S19, C and D). Thus, large-scale reorganization does not necessarily bring about major changes in smaller-scale structures.

RNA sequencing revealed that condensin II deficiency affected the expression of only a fraction of genes (Fig. 3, A and B), which were enriched within LADs (Fig. 3C) and near LAD borders (fig. S20, B and C). The down-regulated genes moved toward the lamina (Fig. 3D). Genes that are near or within LADs could potentially occupy the space that is vacated by the centromeres moving to the nuclear interior upon condensin II loss. The increased lamina association of these genes may, in turn, lead to their transcriptional repression, although the gain in lamina interactions could also be the consequence of the reduced expression of these genes (15, 16) (Fig. 3E).

Thus, condensin II controls the architecture of the interphase genome, but whether it does so by acting in interphase remained unclear. We therefore acutely depleted condensin II in HCT116 cells (17) at the G1-S cell cycle phase transition and either halted the cells before mitotic entry or allowed the cells to progress through mitosis (Fig. 4, A and B, and fig. S21A). When condensin II–depleted cells were halted before mitosis, centromeres did not cluster, which is consistent with condensin II depletion in postmitotic cells not changing the 3D genome (18). By contrast, progression through mitosis led to clear centromeric clustering in the subsequent G1 phase. This suggests that condensin II acts in mitosis, or directly
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Fig. 3. Massive 3D genome changes hardly affect gene expression.
(A) Gene expression of wild type relative to ΔCAP-H2. Unaffected genes are depicted in gray, up-regulated genes in blue, and down-regulated in red.
(B) Number of genes in each category.
(C) Percentage of active genes overlapping with LADs.
(D) Intersection of differences in gene expression with differences in lamina association, depicting active genes within LADs.
(E) Schematic model of centromeres (red) moving to the inner nucleus and silenced genes that now localize to the lamina.
thereafter, to establish 3D genome organization for the next interphase (fig. S21B).

In mitosis, condensin II extrudes loops to compact chromosomes in a lengthwise manner (19–21). We used physical simulations to investigate whether this activity of condensin II can affect centromere clustering. In these simulations, chromosomes are polymers bisected by a centromere. These chromosomes are shaped by two forces: (i) the ideal chromosome potential that models lengthwise compaction by condensin II (22, 23) and (ii) centromeric self-adhesion, which models heterochromatin’s tendency to cluster (24–26) and stabilizes intercentromeric contacts in our setup. We simulated 10 chromosomes with fixed centromere self-adhesion and decreased lengthwise compaction to model condensin II depletion (Fig. 4, C to G; fig. S22; and table S9).

Under high lengthwise compaction (i.e., intact condensin II), chromosomes form non-overlapping entities and hinder the spatial clustering of centromeres. Correspondingly, lower lengthwise compaction (i.e., impaired condensin II) leads to chromosome intermingling and centromere clustering. This physical model illustrates how the loss of lengthwise compaction might explain the observed clustering of centromeres.

Condensin I and condensin II together drive mitotic chromosome condensation (fig. S23, A and B). In contrast to condensin II, condensin I primarily decreases the width of the chromosome (19, 20). If condensin II–driven lengthwise compaction were the key factor leading to territorialization, rather than chromosome
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**Fig. 4.** Centromeric clustering is counteracted by lengthwise compaction and requires mitosis-to-interphase transition. (A) Quantification of centromeric foci before or after mitotic progression with or without auxin-mediated condensin II degradation. Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) plots depict cell cycle stages. Outliers (>60) were truncated and depicted as squares. (B) Example images of G1 cells as quantified in (A). (C to G) Simulation modeling using ten polymer chains as chromosomes. (C) Number of centromere clusters upon varying lengthwise compaction (strength of the ideal chromosome term). WT and ΔC correspond to higher and lower lengthwise compaction, recapitulating the experimental data observed in wild type and ΔCAP-H2 cells. (Top) Representative models for both states. (D) Representative simulation snapshots depicting ten chromosomes in different colors. (E) Quantification of the ratio of cis contacts. (F) Simulated Hi-C matrices depicting contacts between the respective chromosomes. (G) Quantification of the proportion of trans-centromeric contacts. (H) Model for the establishment of type-I and type-II genome architectures. Having shorter chromosomes during mitosis tends to interfere with adhesion between centromeres, leading to separate centromeres and territorial genome architecture in the subsequent interphase. Reducing lengthwise compaction, for example by condensin II disruption, leads to enhanced centromere clustering, loss of chromosome territories, and a Rabl-like genome architecture. ****P < 0.0001; ns, not significant.
condensation in general, then condensin I depletion would not lead to a shift from
Rabl-like architecture. We found that acute depletion of the condensin I subunit
CAP-H did not lead to centromeric clustering (fig. S23, C and D).
Evolution has performed an experiment in which condensin length varies as a result of
chromosome fusions rather than the loss of overall architecture of the genome appears to
be among the most ancient capabilities defin-
ing genome architecture at the scale of whole
chromosomes, we found that the no-
table increase in chromosome length in the
muntjac genomes, we found that the no-
table increase in chromosome length in the
Indian muntjac coincides, as expected, with
the appearance of centromeric clustering
(fig. S25).
Taken together, a model emerges in which
condensin II establishes interphase 3D genome
architecture at the scale of whole chromo-
somes. We hypothesize that (i) centromeres
tend to adhere to one another, a process that
is facilitated by proximity during and shortly
after mitosis; (ii) the shortening of chromo-
somes interferes with this adhesion, enabling
the centromeres to spread out on the newly
formed nuclei; and (iii) centromere territori-
es emerge as a by-product of the result-
ing chiasma-like separation (Fig. 4H).
The role of condensin II in establishing the
overall architecture of the genome appears to
be among the most ancient capabilities defin-
ing genome folding in the eukaryotic lineage.
Changes in condensin II have likely con-
tributed to notable shifts from chromosome
territories to Rabl-like features throughout
the tree of life. As our exploration of the tree
continues, one of the many fruits you
will be a deeper knowledge of our own cellular
machinery.
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Organismal evolution of the 3D genome

The conformation of chromosomes within the nucleus can reflect a cell’s type or state. However, studies of the conservation and evolutionary history of the mechanisms regulating genome structure across species are lacking. Hoencamp et al. mapped three-dimensional (3D) genome organization in 24 eukaryote species, including animals, fungi, and plants. At interphase, species’ telomeres and centromeres either clustered across chromosomes or oriented in a polarized state maintaining individual chromosomal territories within the cell, a difference attributed to condensin II. An experimental loss of condensin II in human cells promotes the formation of centromere clusters but has no effect on loop or compartment formation. Whether the structure of the 3D genome varies across species may thus depend on whether they carry a functional condensin II gene.
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