

Fig. 1. Scaling of postcanine maxillary tooth area (P3-M3) to wild-shot body mass in higher primates (odontometric data from Swindler 1976). The exponent  $(\alpha)$  of the power function  $y = \beta x^{\alpha}$  was estimated by least-squares regression upon the assumption of body mass as an independent determinant. Inclusion of P2 of ceboids and major axis fits do not affect our conclusions about P. paniscus. Species (male, female) included in the analysis are: Aotus trivirgatus (1, 2), Ateles geoffroyi (3, 4), Cebus apella (5, 6), Saimiri sciureus (7, 8), Alouatta seniculus (9, 10), Cercopithecus cephus (11, 12), C. nictitans (13, 14), C. neglectus (15, 16), Cercocebus albigena (17, 18), C. galeritus (19, 20), Macaca nemestrina (21, 22), M. fascicularis (23, 24), Papio cynocephalus (25, 26), Theropithecus gelada (27, 28), Colobus badius (29, 30), C. polyomos (31, 32), Presbytis aygula (33, 34), Hylobates klossi (35, 36), H. agilis (37, 38), H. moloch (39, 40), Pongo pygmaeus (41, 42), Gorilla gorilla (43, 44), P. troglodytes (45, 46), and P. paniscus (47, 48).

historic times their range may have extended farther, perhaps even into Angola (Reynolds 1967). Furthermore, the eastern limit of the range of P. paniscus remains unknown. The best evidence places it at the Lomami River, but some puts it at the Lualaba. Even if the precise range of P. paniscus could be identified, another problem confounds ecological speculations such as Johnson's. Chimpanzees occupy a wide range of environments: humid lowland rain forest, savanna, gallery forest, secondary forest of all kinds, and even cultivated areas (Kortlandt 1962, van Lawick-Goodall 1968, Suzuki 1969, Albrecht and Dunnett 1971, Jones and Sabater Pi 1971).1 It is difficult to base a theory of bonobo evolution on ecological arguments when the isolating mechanism is so tenuous. We do not feel that the island analogy is accurate, especially if the present drainage of the Zaïre Basin and the "island" of rain forest is a phenomenon as recent as the last 500,000 to 1,500,000 years (Cahen 1954 and Mortelmans 1967, cited by Horn 1976).

The evidence does not indicate, as is suggested by Johnson, that *P. paniscus* is a "specialized insular dwarf." Furthermore, no statement about the specialized (derived) or generalized (primitive) nature of a particular trait or complex can be made

without first establishing the primitive or derived state (i.e., morphocline polarity) of that character for the group in question. We cannot engage in productive discussion on the evolutionary history of *P. paniscus* (such as this one by Johnson and others that have appeared in the last few years) until solid comparative, quantitative morphological data are obtained and we have more accurate estimates of scaling parameters.

## by Milford H. Wolpoff

Department of Anthropology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Mich. 48109, U.S.A. 20 1 81

I firmly agree with Johnson's discussion of the bonobo as an unsuitable prototype for the Hominidae. Moreover, there are some persistent problems that make any consistent cladistic interpretation of *Homo* and *Pan* difficult to assess.

From the perspective of the hominids, marked sexual dimorphism and an extremely powerful masticatory apparatus especially suited to maximizing vertical forces were recognized in some of the early hominids (robust australopithecines) by Robinson (1956, 1962) and later predicted as a general ancestral condition by Jolly (1970a). Lovejoy (1974) clearly demonstrated the polished form of bipedal locomotion attained by these hominids. These conditions were then demonstrated in the earlier A. africanus sample from Sterkfontein and Maka-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Bonobos do not have similar opportunities today, as their range is relatively small and its environment relatively homogeneous. *P. paniscus* does seem to be found in a higher density in disturbed secondary than in primary forest (Kuroda 1979, 1980).

pansgat (Wolpoff 1973, 1976; Lovejoy, Heiple, and Burstein 1973), and their expression in the even earlier A. afarensis sample (Johanson and White 1979) clearly points to their importance as ancestral conditions for the hominids.

At the same time, immunodiffusion studies show an especially close relation between *Homo*, *Pan*, and *Gorilla* (Dene, Goodman, and Prychodko 1976, Sarich and Cronin 1976). Indeed, the amount of DNA difference between *Pan* and *Homo* is surprisingly small, approaching the magnitude normal for subspecies differences (King and Wilson 1975). If these data, presumably reflecting genetic divergence, suggest a close relationship (and imply a late divergence) for the hominid and African pongid lineages, the morphology of *A. afarensis* provides additional support.

In this species, polymorphism in P<sub>3</sub> form extends to include a single cusped variant that is sectoral by any definition. Evidence from P<sub>3</sub> wear (Wolpoff 1979, Wolpoff and Russell 1981), as well as the wear on the newly discovered BMNH 18773 lower canine (White 1980), shows that anterior cutting was still a normal variant of A. afarensis dental function. Moreover, a number of specific aspects of the cranial base and palate particularly resemble those of Pan (Johanson and White 1979). Thus, in sum, the morphology of the earliest recognized hominid species supports the notion of an early appearance of certain unique hominid features (interestingly, some of these are features that are not well expressed in modern populations) while at the same time supporting the biochemical indications of a fairly recent divergence from Pan or a Pan-like form.

Where the picture becomes unfocused is over the problem of divergence itself and the question of what, if any, morphological changes are associated with it in the two diverging lineages. While the evidence just discussed indicates that some of the hominid characteristics we can deal with directly (powerful masticatory apparatus, marked sexual dimorphism, bipedal locomotion) can probably be traced back to the time of divergence (especially if it is recent), the question is whether any or all of these may precede divergence. If so, we would be forced to consider a number of characteristics found in Pan as the derived condition (in spite of similarities between Pan and Proconsul in many of these), and our attention would be directed to the unique features of Pan in the all-important consideration of why the divergence occurred.

What helps bring this possibility to the forefront is the question of a ramapithecine ancestry for hominids. The ramapithecine adaptive radiation is characterized by two of the three hominid features just discussed—a powerful masticatory apparatus especially suited to vertical loading and marked sexual dimorphism (Greenfield 1979, Wolpoff 1980). (None of the known postcranial remains [from Hungary and Pakistan] indicate an adaptation to bipedal locomotion.) Several recent analyses have suggested an especially close relation between one of the ramapithecine species and Pongo (Greenfield 1980, Wolpoff 1980). The problem is that both morphological and biochemical evidence suggests an earlier divergence for *Pongo*. This would indicate that at least some of the ramapithecine species diverged from the lineage leading to hominids before the hominid and African pongid lineages diverged and thus that the ramapithecine features represent the ancestral condition for all three of these lineages.

Should this be the case, it might be more productive to consider A. afarensis as simulating a possible prototype for the ancestors of the bonobos than vice versa. Of course, this need not be the case. The alternative possibility is that none of the ramapithecines are on the lineage leading to the hominids and African pongids. This notion is also not without support. Certainly, the fact that only one of the ramapithecine forms is African (represented by less than a handful of specimens) may not be wholly irrelevant. This possibility would allow the hypothesis that the hominid features discussed above are associated with the divergence event or its adaptive conse-

quences. One could then turn to a *Pan*-like (although, for the reasons Johnson discusses, clearly not a particularly bonobolike) model for the predivergence hominoid form.

I don't believe this issue can be settled at present. However, consideration of the boundary conditions helps delimit the form that a solution must take. In particular, it seems increasingly unlikely that these ancestral models can be combined. Any form of a ramapithecine ancestry for hominids draws our attention to certain (therefore) derived features in Pan as an important consequence of divergence, while only the complete elimination of the ramapithecines from hominid ancestry allows us to consider some of the important features of the early hominids as uniquely hominid and to hypothesize a role for them in the process of divergence or its immediate consequences.

## by Adrienne Zihlman

Department of Anthropology, University of California, Santa Cruz, Calif. 95064, U.S.A. 12 II 81

Johnson has set up a straw ape which bears little resemblance to the prototype for the common ancestor of chimpanzees/humans/gorillas that I proposed, and he has proceeded to knock it down with conceptual cannonballs like "synapomorphies," "neontology" and "insular dwarfism." He also misattributes to me the phrase "ideal prototype," which I have never used: I have merely suggested that, of all living hominoids, the pygmy chimpanzee, or bonobo, is most like the probable common ancestor (Zihlman et al. 1978).

This conclusion is based on three lines of evidence: biochemistry, comparative anatomy, and the fossil record. Comparisons of DNA and numerous proteins indicate that humans, chimpanzees, and gorillas diverged from a common ancestor about 5,000,000 years ago, that orangutan and gibbon are about twice as distant from these three as the three are from each other, and that Old World monkeys are about four times as distant. Assuming that the common ancestor was an ape, we then have a choice between a chimpanzee and a gorilla. Even before the early African hominids were discovered, comparative anatomists implied that the common ancestor of humans and African apes must have been something like a small chimpanzee (Gregory 1930, Coolidge 1933). As there is no fossil record of chimpanzees, we must choose between the two living species or imagine a composite of both.

Granted that chimpanzees are more generalized than gorillas (Simpson 1963), my choice of *P. paniscus* in preference to *P. troglodytes* is based on a number of anatomical and behavioral characteristics. Though smaller on average than *troglodytes*, *paniscus* overlaps it considerably in body weight and differs from it mainly in its proportions: a narrower chest, shorter upper limbs, lighter trunk, and heavier lower limbs, all differences that tend in the "human" direction and add up to a lower center of gravity (Zihlman and Cramer 1978, Zihlman 1979b). Behaviorally, this results in the bonobo's being oriented bipedally more than the common chimpanzee and being, in this respect, a more apt precursor to fully bipedal hominids (Zihlman 1980).

Going to the fossil record, we find that the earliest known African hominids, A. afarensis from East Africa and A. africanus from South Africa, are strikingly similar to P. paniscus in several ways: mean femur length, as well as hip, knee, and ankle size, are within a standard deviation of each other. "Lucy's" (AL 288) humerus is shorter than that of paniscus, and the fossil's humerus/femur ratio of 84 is intermediate between that of paniscus at 98 and that of modern man at 76 (Zihlman 1979a). And Lucy's pelvis is that of a habitual biped. Because the brain size of A. afarensis lies within the chim-

DENE, H. T., M. GOODMAN, and W. PRYCHODKO. 1976. "Immunodiffusion evidence on the phylogeny of the primates," in *Molecular anthropology*. Edited by M. Goodman and R. E. Tashian, pp. 171-95. New York: Plenum. [MHW]

DORST, JEAN, and PIERRE DANDELOT. 1970. A fieldguide to the larger mammals of Africa. London: Collins. [ADH]

EVRARD, C. 1968. Recherches écologiques sur le peuplement forestier des sols hydromorphes de la cuvette central congolaise. Publication de l'Institut National pour l'Etude Agronomique du Congo, Série

Scientifique 100. [ADH]
FORD, SUSAN M. 1980. Callitrichids as phyletic dwarfs and the place of the Callitrichidae in Platyrrhini. *Primates* 21:31–43. [JHS]

FRECHKOP, S. 1935. A propos du chimpanzé de la rive gauche du Congo. Bulletin du Musée Royal d'Histoire Naturelle de Belgique 11(2):1-43.[BTS]

GIJZEN, A. 1975. Studbook of Pan paniscus. Acta Zoologica et Pathologica Antverpiensia 61:119-64. [HMM]

GOULD, S. J. 1975. On the scaling of tooth size in mammals. American

Zoologist 15:351-62.
GREEN, D. L. 1973. "Gorilla dental sexual dimorphism and early hominid taxonomy." Symposia of the IVth International Congress of Primatologists. Vol. 3. Craniofacial biology of the Primates, pp. 82-100. Basel: Karger.

GREENFIELD, L. O. 1972. Sexual dimorphism in Dryopithecus africanus. Primates 13:395-410.

. 1979. On the adaptive pattern of "Ramapithecus." American

- theory of human origin. American Journal of Physical Anthro-pology 14:133-64. [AZ]
  GRUBB, PETER. 1972. Variation and incipient speciation in the African buffalo. Zeitschrift für Säugetierkunde 37:121-44. [CPG] HILDEBRAND, M. 1974. Analysis of vertebrate structure. New York:

Wiley.

HILL, W. C. O. 1969. "The nomenclature, taxonomy, and distribution of chimpanzees," in *The chimpanzee*, vol. 1. Edited by G. H.

Bourne. Basel: Karger.

HORN, A. D. 1976. Preliminary report on the ecology and behavior of the bonobo chimpanzee (Pan paniscus Schwarz 1929) and a reconsideration of the evolution of the chimpanzee. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, Yale University, New Haven, Conn.

[RLS, WLJ]

1979. The taxonomic status of the bonobo chimpanzee. American Journal of Physical Anthropology 51:273-82.

. 1980. Some observations on the ecology of the bonobo chimpanzee (Pan paniscus Schwarz 1929) near Lake Tumba, Zaire. Folia Primatologica 34:145-69. [ADH]

Hübsch, I. 1970. Einiges zum Verhalten der Zwergschimpansen (Pan paniscus) und der Schimpansen (Pan troglodytes) in Frankfurter Zoo. Zoologischer Garten 38:107-32. [CPG]

JOHANSON, D. C. 1974. Some metric aspects of the permanent and deciduous dentition of the pygmy chimpanzee (Pan paniscus). American Journal of Physical Anthropology 41:39-48.

JOHANSON, D. C., and T. D. WHITE. 1979. A systematic assessment of early African hominids. Science 203:321-30.

- JOHANSON, D. C., T. D. WHITE, and Y. COPPENS. 1978. A new species of the genus Australopithecus (Primates: Hominidae) from the Pliocene of eastern Africa. Kirtlandia 28:1-14.
- Jolly, C. 1970a. The seed eaters: A new model of hominid differentiation based on a baboon analogy. Man 5:5-26.
- . 1970b. "The large African monkeys as an adaptive array," in Old World monkeys, pp. 139-74. New York: Academic Press. [RLS, WLJ]
- JONES, C., and J. SABATER PI. 1971. Comparative ecology of Gorilla gorilla (Savage and Wyman) and Pan troglodytes (Blumenbach) in Rio Muni, West Africa. Bibliotheca Primatalogica 13. [RLS, WLJ]
- JUNGERS, W. L. 1978. The functional significance of skeletal allometry in Megaladapis in comparison to living prosimians. American Journal of Physical Anthropology 49:303-14. [RLS, WLJ]
- . n.d. Scaling of the locomotor skeleton in catarrhine primates. American Journal of Physical Anthropology. In press.

  KAY, R. F. 1975. Allometry and early hominids. Science 189:63.
- [BTS]
- . 1977. Diets of early Miocene hominoids. Nature 268:628-30. KIMURA, T., M. OKADA, and H. ISHIDA. 1979. "Kinesiological characteristics of primate walking: Its significance in human walking," in Environment, behavior, and morphology: Dynamic interactions in primates. Edited by M. E. Morbeck, H. Preuschoft, and N. Gomberg, pp. 297-311. New York: Fischer. [TK]
- KING, M. C., and A. C. WILSON. 1975. Evolution at two levels in humans and chimpanzees. Science 188:107-16. [MHW]
- KORTLANDT, A. 1962. Chimpanzees in the wild. Scientific American 206:128-38. [RLS, WLJ]

- -. 1972. New perspectives on ape and human evolution. Amster-
- dam: Stichting voor Psychobiologie. [BTS]

  KUKLA, G. J. 1976. Around the Ice Age world. Natural History 85(4):56-61.
- KURODA, S. 1979. Grouping of the pygmy chimpanzees. *Primates* 20:161-83. [RLS, WLJ]
- . 1980. Social behavior of the pygmy chimpanzees. Primates
- 21:181-97. [CPG, RLS, WLJ]
  LATIMER, B. M. 1980. Bonobo or not bonobo? The pygmy chimpanzee as a model for hominid ancestry. American Journal of Physical Anthropology 52:246. [BTS]
  LATIMER, B. M., T. D. WHITE, W. H. KIMBEL, C. O. LOVEJOY, and
- D. C. Johanson. n.d. The pygmy chimpanzee is not a living missing link in human evolution. *Journal of Human Evolution*. In press. Leutenegger, W., and J. T. Kelly. 1977. Relationship of sexual
- dimorphism in canine size and body size to social, behavioral, and ecological correlates in anthropoid primates. Primates 18:117-36.
- LOVEJOY, C. O. 1974. The gait of australopithecines. Yearbook of Physical Anthropology 17:147-61. [MHW]

- [MHW]
- LOWENSTEIN, J. M., and A. J. ZIHLMAN. 1980. The wading ape. Oceans 13(3):3-6.
- McHenry, H. M., and R. S. Corruccini. 1981. Pan paniscus and human evolution. American Journal of Physical Anthropology 54. In press. [HMM]
- MARSHALL, L. G., and R. S. CORRUCCINI. 1978. Variability, evolutionary rates, and allometry in dwarfing lineages. Paleobiology 4:110-19.
- NAPIER, J. R., and P. H. NAPIER. 1967. A handbook of living primates.

  London and New York: Academic Press.
- PILBEAM, D., and S. J. GOULD. 1974. Size and scaling in human evolution. Science 186:892-901. [BTS]
- PILBEAM, D., G. E. MEYER, C. BADGLEY, M. D. ROSE, M. H. L. PUCKFORD, A. K. BEHRENSMEYER, and S. M. IBRAHIM SHAH. 1977. New hominoid primates from the Siwaliks of Pakistan and their bearing on hominoid evolution. Nature 270:689-95. [ADH]
- REYNOLDS, V. 1967. The apes. New York: Dutton. REYNOLDS, V., and G. LUSCOMBE. 1971. On the existence of currently
- described subspecies in the chimpanzee (Pan troglodytes). Folia Primatologica 14:129-38. [CPG]

  ROBERTS, D. L. 1974. "Structure and function of the primate scapula," in Primate locomotion. Edited by F. A. Jenkins, pp. 171-200. New York: Academic Press. [BTS, RLS, WLJ]

ROBINSON, J. T. 1956. The dentition of the Australopithecinae. Transvaal Museum Memoir 9. [MHW]

- —. 1962. "The origin and adaptive radiation of the australopith-ecines," in *Evolution and hominisation*. Edited by G. Kurth, pp. 120-40. Stuttgart: Fischer. [MHW]

  RUMBAUGH, DUANE M., and CAROL McCormack. 1967. Great ape intelligence. Zoonooz 40:4-12. [CPG]
- SARICH, V. M., and J. E. CRONIN. 1976. "Molecular systematics of the primates," in *Molecular anthropology*. Edited by M. Goodman and R. E. Tashian, pp. 141-70. New York: Plenum. [MHW]
- Savage-Rumbaugh, E. Sue, and Beverly J. Wilkerson. 1978. Socio-sexual behavior in *Pan paniscus* and *Pan troglodytes:* A comparative study. *Journal of Human Evolution* 7: 327-44. [CPG]
- SCHULTZ, A. H. 1937. Proportions, variability, and asymmetries of the long bones of the limbs and the clavicles in man and apes.
- Human Biology 9:281-328.

  ——. 1956. "Postembryonic age changes," in Primatologia, vol. 1. Edited by H. Hofer, A. H. Schultz, and D. Starck, pp. 887-964. Basel: Karger. [TK] Basel: Karger.
- Schuman, E. L., and C. L. Brace. 1954. Metric and morphologic variations in the dentition of the Liberian chimpanzee: Comparisons with anthropoid and human dentitions. Human Biology 26:
- SCHWARTZ, J. H., I. TATTERSALL, and N. ELDREDGE. 1978. Phylogeny and classification of the Primates revisited. Yearbook of Physical Anthropology 21:95-133. [JHS]
- Schwarz, Ernst. 1934. On the local races of the chimpanzee. Annals and Magazine of Natural History (10) 13:576-83.
- SHEA, B. T. 1980. Allometry of limb lengths in the African pongids. American Journal of Physical Anthropology 52:278. RLS, WLJ]
- n.d.a. Relative growth of the limbs and trunk in the African apes. American Journal of Physical Anthropology. In press.
- n.d.b. Growth and size allometry in the African Pongidae: Cranial and postcranial analyses. MS.
- SIMPSON, GEORGE G. 1963. "The meaning of taxonomic statements," in Classification and human evolution. Edited by S. L. Washburn, pp. 2-31. Chicago: Aldine. [AZ]

SMITH, J. M., and K. J. G. SAVAGE. 1956. Some locomotor adaptations

in mammals. Journal of the Linnean Society (Zoology) 242:603-22.

[RLS, WLJ]
Sondar, P. Y. 1977. "Insularity and its effect on mammal evolution," in *Major patterns in vertebrate evolution*. Edited by M. K. Hecht, P. C. Goody, and B. M. Hecht. New York: Plenum.
Street, F. A., and A. T. Grove. 1976. Environmental and climatic

implications of late Quaternary lake-level fluctuations in Africa. Nature 261:385-90.

Susman, R. 1980. Acrobatic pygmy chimpanzees. Natural History 89:33-39. [ADH, BJS]

Susman, R. L., N. Badrian, and A. Badrian. 1980. Locomotor behavior of Pan paniscus in Zaïre. American Journal of Physical Anthropology 52:285.

Suzuki, A. 1969. An ecological study of chimpanzees in a savanna woodland. *Primates* 10:103-48. [RLS, WLJ]

SWINDLER, D. 1976. Dentition of living primates. New York: Academic

Press. [RLS, WLJ]
TUTTLE, R. H. 1975. "Parallelism, brachiation, and hominoid phylogeny," in *Phylogeny of the primates*. Edited by W. P. Luckett and F. S. Szalay, pp. 447-80. New York: Plenum. [BTS]

VAN LAWICK-GOODALL, J. 1968. The behaviour of free-living chimpanzees in the Gombe Stream Reserve. Animals Behaviour Mono-[RLS, WLJ] graphs 1:161-311.

WALKER, E. P. 1974. 3d edition. Mammals of the world. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.

WHITE, T. D. 1980. Additional fossils from Laetoli, Tanzania: 1976-1979 specimens. American Journal of Physical Anthropology 53: 487-504. [ADH, MHW]

WOLPOFF, M. H. 1973. Posterior tooth size, body size, and diet in the

South African gracile australopithecines. American Journal of Physical Anthropology 39:375-94. [MHW]

-. 1976. Some aspects of the evolution of early hominid sexual dimorphism. CURRENT ANTHROPOLOGY 17:579-606. . 1979. Anterior dental cutting in the Laetolil hominids and

the evolution of the bicuspid P<sub>3</sub>. A Anthropology 51:233-34. [MHW] American Journal of Physical

——. 1980. Paleoanthropology. New York: Knopf. [MHW] Wolpoff, M. H., and M. Russell. 1981. Anterior dental cutting at Laetolil. American Journal of Physical Anthropology. In press. [MHW]

YERKES, ROBERT M., and ADA W. YERKES. 1929. The great apes.

New Haven: Yale University Press. [CPG]
ZIHLMAN, A. L. 1979a. Pygmy chimpanzee morphology and the interpretation of early hominids. South African Journal of Science 75:165-68

1979b. Differences in body weight composition of pygmy and common chimpanzees. American Journal of Physical Anthropology 50:496. [AZ]

ZIHLMAN, A. L., and D. CRAMER. 1978. Skeletal differences between pygmy (Pan paniscus) and common chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes).

Folia Primatologica 29:86-94. [TK, BTS, AZ]
ZIHLMAN, A. L., J. E. CRONIN, D. L. CRAMER, and V. M. SARICH.
1978. Pygmy chimpanzee as a possible prototype for the common ancestor of humans, chimpanzees, and gorillas. Nature 275:744-46.

## Serials

- The University of Maryland's Center for Archaeoastronomy, now in its third year, has developed an interdisciplinary program in research and education that focuses on the anthropological study of indigenous astronomical systems, skylore, and cosmologies of both ancient and contemporary cultures. The Center's bulletin has expanded to become the quarterly journal Archaeoastronomy, which serves uniquely as a medium for scholarly exchange in this field. Subscriptions are available at U.S. \$10 for individuals, \$15 for institutions (with an additional \$3 per year for postage outside the United States); back issues may be ordered at the same price. For further information on the Center and its publications, please write: John B. Carlson, Center for Archaeoastronomy, University of Maryland, College Park, Md. 20742, U.S.A.
- Periodic bulletins in English and in Hebrew announcing the activities of the Israel Anthropological Association are available to overseas scholars with membership in the Association. A more serious publication effort in the form of an annual research report is planned. Checks for U.S. \$10 should be made payable to the Israel Anthropological Association and sent to Harvey Goldberg, c/o Department of Sociology and Social Anthropology, Hebrew University, Givat Ram, Jerusalem, Israel. Notices of dissertations or published work for which the fieldwork was conducted in Israel will be welcomed for inclusion in forthcoming bulletins.
- Mesolithic Miscellany, a new newsletter devoted specifically to the Mesolithic period in Europe, will appear twice a year in November and May and be available for U.S. \$3 per year. Coverage includes recent publication titles with abstracts, new book titles with tables of contents, meeting summaries and lists of presented papers, book reviews, short research summaries, requests for information, new finds, and the like. A section devoted to debate and discussion appears once a year.

A series of national summaries of research on the Mesolithic will appear on an annual basis beginning in 1982. The newsletter is intended to be an informal communication among researchers interested in the European Mesolithic and will only be successful if all subscribers regularly contribute new information. Interested participants should write the editor: T. Douglas Price, Department of Anthropology, 5240 Social Sciences Building, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wis. 53706, U.S.A.

## *Institutions*

■ The Association for Anthropological Diplomacy, founded on December 7, 1980, aims to enhance the systematic study of the relevance of anthropology to diplomacy and vice versa and to foster the practical application of anthropological diplomacy to issues and problems in the modern world. The executive board includes Ousman Sallah (Ambassador of Gambia to the United States and the United Nations), Paul Magnarella (University of Florida), Lincoln Landis (consultant on Soviet affairs, Washington, D.C.), Diosdado M. Yap (Capital Publishers, Inc.), and Rolando Alum (Center for Labor Studies, New York). Mario D. Zamora (College of William and Mary) is president; Dorian Baroni (College of William and Mary) is secretary-treasurer and Liz Hammer (College of William and Mary) is managing editor of the newsletter. Scholars and diplomats from some 100 nations constitute an advisory board. Applications for membership, at U.S. \$15 for individuals (\$10 in Asia, Africa, and Latin America), \$10 for students, and \$30 for institutions, should be sent to Mario D. Zamora, Department of Anthropology, College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, Va. 23185, U.S.A. (Checks or money orders should be made payable to the Association for Anthropological Diplomacy.)