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Problems that economists have often talked about in theoretical works but never approached empirically for want of data are now investigated with consumer surveys.

Lawrence R. Klein
Contributions of Survey Methods to Economics (1954)

## LSA

## Surveys in Economics

- Surveys with objective, behavioral data standard
- employment, income, wages, prices, wealth, etc.
- official surveys, SRC surveys
- widely used in econometric studies
- Subjective surveys meet great skepticism in economics
- preferences, attitudes, opinions, expectations, etc.


## $\square$ LSA

## Skepticism about survey subjective responses

- Revealed preference, not reported preference
- Inability to elicit accurate survey responses
- No incentive to give correct responses on surveys
- Preferred evidence in economics
- data on market transactions
- lab experiments
- field experiments

"A final question. Would you put your money where your mouth is?"


## LSA

## Outline of Lecture: Identifying Parameters with Surveys

I. Surveys about preferences
II. Surveys about policy responses
III. Surveys about expectations
IV. Directions for future work

## LSA

## I. Surveys to Infer Preference Parameters

Survey-based Gedanken Experiments

- Hypothetical responses to economic choices
- Survey questions structured using economic theory
- Responses allow identification of individual-specific preference parameters
- Parameters difficult or prohibitively costly to identify experimentally or based on behavioral data


## LSA

## Domains for preference parameter questions

1. Labor supply
2. Intertemporal choices about consumption
3. Risk tolerance

## $1 \operatorname{CNA}$

1. Labor supply

How responsive are hours worked to wage and wealth changes?
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## Labor supply survey question

- Addresses nearly intractable identification problem with variation in labor in response to changes in wages:
--Higher wages increase labor (substitution effect) --Higher wages decrease labor (wealth effect)
- Survey response gives wealth effect
- Use theory to back out substitution effect


## LSA

## Labor supply survey question

Suppose you won a sweepstakes that will pay you an amount equal to your current family income every year for as long as you live. We'd like to know what effect the sweepstakes money would have on your life. Would you

Quit work entirely?
If not, would you work fewer hours?
If work fewer hours, how many fewer hours?

## LSA

Would you quit your job if you won the sweepstakes?

## LSA

© Cartoonbank.com

"IfI won forty-seven million dollars in the lottery, I wouldn't change a thing. Not at first. "

\section*{Labor Supply Responses to Winning the Sweepstakes (Percent of Responses) <br> | Change in labor | Tota |
| :--- | ---: |
| No change | $\mathbf{2 1 . 3}$ |
| Reduce hours | $\mathbf{2 2 . 5}$ |}

By
$\leq 10 \% \quad 0.4$
10-25\% $\quad 5.3$
26-49\% 9.3
50\% 6.1
$>50 \% \quad 1.4$
Quit
56.3

Source: Kimball and Shapiro (2005).
Data from Health and Retirement Study experimental module.

## cSA

## Implications

- Labor supply responsive: >75\% quit or reduce hours (Similar to actual lottery winners)
- Implies high labor supply elasticity (Frisch elasticity about 1)
- Econometric evidence (from wage changes) yields much lower elasticities

High elasticity means large response of labor to tax changes, productivity shocks, etc.
2. Intertemporal choices about consumption

Hypothetical choice:
Consume more now versus consume more in retirement
Survey design:

- Change interest rate (higher interest rates reward saving)
- Ask respondents to make choices of consumption paths with different interest rates
- Mode is graphical: Paper or Internet


## $\square$ LSA

## Economic theory of intertemporal choice

$$
\text { consumption growth }=s(r-\rho)
$$

s = elasticity of intertemporal substitution
$r=$ interest rate
$\rho=$ discount rate (impatience)

## Identification problem again

Substitution effect positive:
Save more/borrow less when interest rates increase

Wealth effect ambiguous:
Savers consumer more when interest rates increase
Borrowers consume less

## LSA

## Intertemporal choice question: Setup

- Lifetime income of $\$ 3,000$ per month
- Save or borrow to consume more or less in retirement
- Health costs fully insured; no inflation
- Vary interest rate to change (implicitly) return to saving
- Choices shown graphically


## LSA

Chart 1
Recall that you can afford any of the spending patterns shown in Chart 1 below. Which pattern of spending do you like most among A, B, C, D and E in Chart 1?
Please click first in the white box of your first choice pattern and then in the box of your second choice pattern or use the 'choose' buttons.


## LSA

Chart 3
Which pattern of spending do you like most among $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$ and E in Chart 3 below? Please click first in the white box of your first choice pattern and then in the box of your second choice pattern or use the 'choose' buttons.


Result 1: Negative discount rate (positive patience)

## Individuals prefer either flat or upward sloped consumption profiles

Result 2: Low response to changes in interest rate ( $s \approx 0.2$ )
Individuals respond little to even large increases in interest rates

## LSA

## Implications

- Consumers resist change in consumption
- Saving not very sensitive to interest rates (Near zero elasticity of intertemporal substitution $s$ )


## LSA

3. Risk tolerance

Key parameter for choices, e.g.,

- Investing in stock
- Taking jobs with risky wages
- Having insurance
- Undertaking risk activities (smoking, immigrating)

Difficult to identify experimentally because relevant gambles are over lifetime income

Survey design: gambles over lifetime income

## LSA

## Risky Job Question

Suppose that you are the only income earner in the family. Your doctor recommends that you move because of allergies, and you have to choose between two possible jobs.

- The first would guarantee your current total family income for life.
- The second is possibly better paying, but the income is also less certain. There is a $50-50$ chance the second job would double your total lifetime income and a $50-50$ chance that it would cut it by a third.

Which job would you take-the first job or the second job?

## LSA

## Risky Job Question (continued)

If reject risky job, ask if would accept a downside risk of a cut in income by $1 / 5$.

If accept risky job, ask if would accept a downside risk of 1/2.

## CSA

## Risky Job Question

- Developed by Barsky, Juster, Kimball, and Shapiro (1997)
- First implemented in the Health and Retirement Study
- Now also on Panel Study of Income Dynamics, NLSY, and other surveys (including internationally)
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## Compare Qualitative Questions about Risk

from Survey of Consumer Finances
Which of the statements comes closest to the amount of financial risk that you are willing to take?

1. take substantial financial risks expecting to earn substantial returns
2. take above average financial risks expecting to earn above average returns
3. take average financial risks expecting to earn average returns
4. not willing to take any financial risks
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## Risk Tolerance Categories Implied by Risky Job Responses

## Downside Risk

Fraction of Responses

| Risk Tolerance: | Accept | Reject |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None to low | None | $1 / 5$ | $65 \%$ |
| Low to moderate | $1 / 5$ | $1 / 3$ | $11 \%$ |
| Moderate to high | $1 / 3$ | $1 / 2$ | $11 \%$ |
| Very high | $1 / 2$ | None | $13 \%$ |

Source: Health and Retirement Study, multiple waves.
Barsky, Juster, Kimball, and Shapiro (1997); Kimball, Sahm, and Shapiro (2006).

## Quantitative Analysis of Survey Responses

- Estimate preference parameters for individuals from an economic model
- Multiple responses allow modeling response errors
- Use preference parameters to explain differences in behavior


## LSA

## Inferring Preference Parameters from Hypothetical Choices

C = current consumption
$\pi=$ downside risk (fraction of income)
$\theta=$ coefficient of relative risk tolerance [Arrow/Pratt]
$U(C)=\frac{C^{1-1 / \theta}}{1-1 / \theta}=$ utility function

Accept risky job if $\frac{1}{2} U(2 C)+\frac{1}{2} U((1-\pi) C) \geq U(C)$
$\longrightarrow$ Choices in survey bound value of relative risk tolerance $\theta$

## LSA

\section*{Distribution of Risk Preferences across Individuals Risk Tolerance Risk Aversion <br> |  | $\theta$ | $1 / \theta$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mean | 0.206 | 8.2 |
| Std. Dev. | 0.172 | 6.8 |}

Memo: Signal-to-noise ratio $=36 \%$
Source: Kimball, Sahm, Shapiro (2006). [Update of Barsky, et al.]

## LSA

## Application 1: Equity Premium Puzzle

- Excess return of stocks over bonds requires very high risk tolerance, e.g., relative risk aversion $=1 / \theta \gg 50$
- Survey evidence: $1 / \theta \cong 8$
- Enough risk-tolerant survey respondents to leave equity premium a puzzle


## LSA

Application 2: Stock portfolios across households

$$
\alpha_{i}=\text { share of assets in stocks }
$$

$\theta_{i}=$ individual estimate of risk tolerance from survey

$$
\alpha_{i}=\beta \theta_{i}+X_{i} \gamma+\varepsilon_{i}
$$

## LSA

Application 2: Stock portfolios across households
$\alpha_{i}=$ share of assets in stocks
$\theta_{i}=$ individual estimate of risk tolerance from survey

$$
\alpha_{i}=\underset{(0.06)^{j}}{0.15 \theta_{i}}+X_{i} \gamma+\varepsilon_{i}
$$

Source: Health and Retirement Study data; Kimball, Sahm, and Shapiro (2006)

Summary: Use of hypothetical questions to infer preferences

- Identify parameters that are hard to infer from behavioral data
- Provide basis for calibrating aggregate models
- Control for individual heterogeneity
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## II. Survey Measure of Response to Policy

Ask about response to an actual policy

- Not a hypothetical
- Still heterodox, i.e., ask consumers for a ceteris paribus response
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## The Policy

- Treasury sent checks-typically $\$ 600$ per householdduring the summer of 2001
- Advance payment of part of 2001 income tax cuts
- \$600 a substantial fraction of income
- Meant to stimulate the economy-2001 a recession year


## LSA


"My guess is our tax rebate has arrived."

## LSA

## Spending question

Earlier this year a Federal law was passed cutting income tax rates and expanding certain credits and deductions. The tax cuts will be phased in over the next ten years. This year many households will receive a tax rebate check in the mail. In most cases, the tax rebate will be $\$ 300$ for single individuals and $\$ 600$ for married couples.

Thinking about your (family's) financial situation this year, will the tax rebate lead you mostly to increase spending, mostly to increase saving, or mostly to pay off debt?

## $\operatorname{CNA}$

## Spending Rate: Survey Results

Number of respondents

|  |  | Pay <br> Debt | Will <br> Not | Don't |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | Spend | Save | With | Get | Know/ | Spend |
| Responses | Rebate | Rebate | Rebate | Rebate | Refused | Percentage |

Survey of Consumers, August-October 2001
Shapiro and Slemrod, American Economic Review (2003)

## LSA

Validation of Survey Evidence

- Follow up survey
- Aggregate saving data
- Household spending data
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## Consistency of Survey Responses Across Time

Number of Respondents Second Wave

|  |  | Mostly Spend | Mostly Not <br> Spend | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First | Mostly Spend | $\mathbf{4 7}$ | 29 | 75 |
| Wave | Mostly Not Spend | 41 | $\mathbf{1 8 3}$ | 225 |
|  | Total | 88 | 212 | 300 |

Survey of Consumers, First wave (Aug-Oct 2001), Second wave (Mar-Apr 2002) Shapiro and Slemrod, Tax Policy and the Economy (2003b)

## LSA

## Consistency of Survey Responses with Aggregate Data

## Personal Saving Rate



## Consistency of Survey Responses with Behavioral Data

Data from Consumer Expenditure Survey (CEX)
Special question on size and timing of rebate check

$$
\Delta \text { Consumption }_{i t}=\beta \text { Rebate }_{i t}+X_{i t} \gamma+\varepsilon_{i t}
$$

## LSA

Consistency of Survey Responses with Behavioral Data: Results

$$
\Delta \text { Consumption }_{i t}=\underset{(0.115)}{0.239 \text { Rebate }_{i t}+X_{i t} \gamma+\varepsilon_{i t}}
$$

Source: Johnson, Parker, and Souleles, American Economic Review (2005). Results for strictly nondurable consumption.

CEX data on timing and magnitude of rebates
$\longrightarrow \quad$ unusual check on survey results

## LSA

Survey Design Allows for Testing of Hypotheses
Little correlation of spending with:

- Expected income growth (liquidity constraints)
- Expected government spending (Ricardian equivalence)


## LSA

## III. Expectations from surveys

- Overall outlook for the economy
- Outlook for individual economic situation or purchases: Consumer Sentiment
- Expectations about particular variables
- Income
- Unemployment
- Inflation
- Stock returns


## LSA

## Role of Expectations

- Determinant of current decisions
- Consumption, saving, and investment
- Price setting
- Work/location
- Asset demand
- Stocks and bonds
- Housing


## LSA

## Stock Return Expectations

Percent chance questions (Manski-Dominitz):
Suppose you have $\$ 1,000$ invested in a mutual fund holding a diversified portfolio of stocks.

What do you think is the percent chance that this $\$ 1,000$ investment will increase in value in the year ahead, so that it is worth more than $\$ 1,000$ one year from now?

## LSA

## Percent chance questions

- Asks for a point in cumulative distribution function (CDF), not an expectation
- Could ask for multiple points in CDF, e.g., percent chance that $\$ 1,000$ is worth more than $\$ 1,100$ is a year
- Stock and income expectations questions implemented in Survey of Consumer from May 2002 to present


## LSA

Relation of Expectations to Stock Returns
Survey respondents cannot forecast stock returns!

$$
{\log \left(P_{t+365} / P_{t}\right)=10.8-0.030 \text { PercentChance }_{i, t}+\varepsilon_{i, t}}_{(0.002)}
$$

What determines expectations?
Ultimately we may even hope to determine a more fundamental set of variables and relations showing how expectations are formed, but this type of study has not yet been made.

Lawrence R. Klein
Contributions of Survey Methods to Economics (1954)

## LSA

Hypothesis:
Expectations of future stock market performance change with recent history of the stock market

## LSA

(c) Cartoonbank.com

"His mood is pegged to the dollar."

## LSA



## LSA



## Regression analysis:

Explain percent chance of a stock market gains with recent stock returns

- Daily responses to survey yield powerful test


## LSA

## Explaining Percent Chance of a Stock Market Gain

(1)
(2)
(3)
(4)

Stock return:
Today
0.23
0.12
(0.29)
(0.19)

Last month
0.18
0.14
(0.05)
(0.05)

Last year
$0.13 \quad 0.12$
(0.02) (0.02)

Stock level today (log)

| 0.32 | 0.31 | 0.23 | 0.22 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

(0.02) (0.02)
(0.02) (0.02)

Regression coefficients. Constant not reported.
(Standard errors in parentheses.)

## LSA

## Consumers update probabilities based on recent stock market performance

- Increase in stock market of 1\% raises reported percent chance of a gain by about 0.5\%
- Expectations poorly anchored
- Challenge to standard theories of the stock market
- Momentum investors, not contrarian investors
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## IV. Future work

Toward a more complete understanding of portfolio choice

- Preferences
- Actual portfolio choice and saving behavior
- Expectations
- Link economic parameters to cognitive/intelligence measurement


## 1 LSA



