3. We are to prove the Gordon identity,

$$\bar{u}(p')\gamma^{\mu}u(p) = \bar{u}(p')\left[\frac{(p'+p)^{\mu}}{2m} + \frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu}}{2m}\right]u(p).$$

Explicitly writing out each term in the brackets and recalling the anticommutation relations of  $\gamma^{\mu}$ , the right hand side becomes,

$$\begin{split} \bar{u}(p') \left[ \frac{(p'+p)^{\mu}}{2m} + \frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu}}{2m} \right] u(p) &= \bar{u}(p') \left[ \frac{1}{2m} \left( p'^{\mu} + p^{\mu} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}(p-p')_{\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}(p-p')_{\nu} \right) \right] u(p), \\ &= \bar{u}(p') \left[ \frac{1}{2m} \left( p'^{\mu} + p^{\mu} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}(p-p')_{\nu} + g^{\nu\mu}(p-p')_{\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}(p-p')_{\nu} \right) \right] u(p) \\ &= \bar{u}(p') \left[ \frac{1}{2m} \left( 2p'^{\mu} - \gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}(p-p')_{\nu} \right) \right] u(p), \\ &= \bar{u}(p') \left[ \frac{1}{2m} \left( 2p'^{\mu} - \gamma^{\mu}p' - \gamma^{\mu}p' \right) \right] u(p). \end{split}$$

Now, recall that the Dirac equation for u(p) is

$$\not p u(p) = m u(p).$$

Converting this for  $\bar{u}(p')p'$ , one obtains

$$\bar{u}(p')p' = m\bar{u}(p').$$

Applying both of these equations where we left of, we see that

$$\bar{u}(p')\left[\frac{(p'+p)^{\mu}}{2m} + \frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu}}{2m}\right]u(p) = \bar{u}(p')\frac{p'^{\mu}}{m}u(p).$$

Looking again at the Dirac equation,  $m\bar{u}(p') = \bar{u}(p')p' = \bar{u}(p')\gamma^{\mu}p'_{\mu}$ , it is clear that

$$\bar{u}(p')\gamma^{\mu}u(p) = \bar{u}(p')\left[\frac{(p'+p)^{\mu}}{2m} + \frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu}}{2m}\right]u(p).$$

όπερ έδει δείξαι

4. a) To demonstrate that  $\gamma^5 \equiv i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3$  anticommutes each of the  $\gamma^{\mu}$ , it will be helpful to remember that whenever  $\mu \neq \nu$ ,  $\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu} = -\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}$  by the anticommutation relations. Therefore, any odd permutation in the order of some  $\gamma'$ s will change the sign of the expression. It should therefore be quite clear that

$$\begin{split} \gamma^5 \gamma^0 &= i\gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 \gamma^0 = -i\gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 = -i\gamma^0 \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 = -\gamma^0 \gamma^5;\\ \gamma^5 \gamma^1 &= i\gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 \gamma^1 = i\gamma^0 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 = -i\gamma^1 \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 = -\gamma^1 \gamma^5;\\ \gamma^5 \gamma^2 &= i\gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 \gamma^2 = -i\gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^3 = -i\gamma^2 \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 = -\gamma^2 \gamma^5;\\ \gamma^5 \gamma^3 &= i\gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 \gamma^3 = i\gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 = -i\gamma^3 \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 = -\gamma^3 \gamma^5;\\ \therefore \left\{\gamma^5, \gamma^\mu\right\} = 0. \end{split}$$

όπερ έδει δείξαι

**b)** We will first show that  $\gamma^5$  is hermitian. Note that the derivation relies on the fact that  $(\gamma^0)^{\dagger} = \gamma^0$  and  $(\gamma^i)^{\dagger} = -\gamma^i$ . These facts are inherent in our chosen representation of the  $\gamma$  matrices.

$$\begin{split} (\gamma^5)^{\dagger} &= -i(\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3)^{\dagger}, \\ &= -i(\gamma^3)^{\dagger}(\gamma^2)^{\dagger}(\gamma^1)^{\dagger}(\gamma^0)^{\dagger}, \\ &= i\gamma^3\gamma^2\gamma^1\gamma^0, \\ &= -i\gamma^2\gamma^1\gamma^0\gamma^3, \\ &= -i\gamma^1\gamma^0\gamma^2\gamma^3, \\ &= i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3, \\ &= \gamma^5. \end{split}$$

 $\mathbf{2}$